

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

M-5-

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,015	02/03/2004	PEI-MING SHAN	12190-US-PA	2014
31561 75	590 09/15/2006		EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100			DESIR, JEAN WICEL	
•	OOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIPEI, 100			2622	
TAIWAN -			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/708,015	SHAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jean W. Désir	2622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
<b>4</b> )⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🛛	Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· .				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
- 5	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.			
Attachment	• •					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsparson's Patent Province Review (PTO 948)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)    Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6)  Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langan et al (US 6,766,064) in view of Ferguson (US 6,907,143).

#### Claim 1:

Langan discloses:

"a spatial domain infinite impulse response filter, for receiving an input signal for generating a spatial domain infinite impulse response filtering value", see Fig. 10 item 100, col. 13 lines 14-27;

"a peak value detector, coupled to the spatial domain infinite impulse response filter, for generating a peak value during a predetermined recording interval corresponding to the spatial domain infinite impulse response filtering value", see Fig. 10 item 108, col. 13 lines 26-27;

"and a contrast evaluator, coupled to the peak value detector, for evaluating the contrast value according to the peak value and a predetermined threshold', see Fig. 10 items 102-106;

Application/Control Number: 10/708,015

Art Unit: 2622

the difference between the claimed invention and Langan's disclosure is that Langan does not explicitly say that item 100 of Fig. 10 is an "infinite impulse response filter" as claimed. However, Langan does teach that item 100 can act as a low pass filter (see col. 13 lines 23-25, col. 7 lines 4-5); and low pass filter that is based on infinite impulse response (IIR) filter is a notoriously well known device in the art, as evidence see Ferguson at col. 2 line 39, used to achieve a given filter response characteristic with less memory and/or calculation; because of these teachings an artisan would be motivated to modify Langan's disclosure and implement IIR filter to arrive at the claimed invention; this implementation would advantageously maximize image contrast and use less memory and/or calculation. Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Claim 2:

a first multiplier (see Ferguson at Fig. 2 item 34), for multiplying the input signal with a first factor value for generating a first output value;

an adder (see Ferguson at Fig. 2 item 36), coupled to the first multiplier for generating a sum of the first output value and a second output value to be the spatial domain infinite impulse response filtering value;

and a second multiplier (see Ferguson at Fig. 2 items 38, 40), adapted to multiply the spatial domain infinite impulse response filtering value with a second factor value for generating the second output value, wherein a sum of the first factor value and the second factor value is 1 (see Ferguson at Fig. 2 where b0 + a1 is 1 as claimed).

Claim 3 is disclosed, see Langan at col. 8 lines 4-11, col. 6 lines 45-61.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,015

Art Unit: 2622

Claim 6 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 7 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 3.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4, 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean W. Désir whose telephone number is (571) 272 7344. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 - First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272 7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**JWD** 

Sep. 12, 06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4